

Richard E. Levine (SB #88729)
LEVINE & BAKER LLP
One Maritime Plaza, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 391-8177
Facsimile: (415) 391-8488
rlevine@levinebakerlaw.com

Joseph V. Norvell (*pro hac vice*)
Joseph T. Kucala (*pro hac vice*)
Jay M. Burgett (*pro hac vice*)
NORVELL IP LLC
1776 Ash Street
Northfield, IL 60093
Telephone: (847) 809-2212
Facsimile: (312) 268-5063
jnorvell@norvellip.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
ROBERT TRENT JONES II, INC.
ROBERT TRENT JONES LICENSING GROUP, LLC

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

ROBERT TRENT JONES II, INC. and
ROBERT TRENT JONES LICENSING
GROUP, LLC

Plaintiffs,

v.

GFSI, INC. d/b/a GEAR FOR SPORTS,
INC.

Defendant.

Case No. 07-CV-04913-SC

**PLAINTIFFS' OBJECTIONS TO
EVIDENCE OFFERED BY DEFENDANT
IN ITS OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION**

**Date: January 25, 2008
Time: 10:00 a.m.
Judge Samuel Conti**

1 Plaintiffs, Robert Trent Jones II, Inc. and Robert Trent Jones Licensing Group, LLC,
2 through their counsel, submit the following objections to evidence offered by Defendant in its
3 Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction. Plaintiffs' detailed argument with
4 respect to these objections is set forth in Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of their Motion for
5 Preliminary Injunction. Plaintiff specifically objects to the Declaration of Larry Graveel
6 ("Graveel Dec.") dated December 31, 2007, submitted as Exhibit A to Defendant's Opposition:
7

8 **Objection No. 1:** Paragraph 9: The sentence "However, to accommodate RTJ2's request,
9 we ceased selling to these alleged 'discount stores' when RTJ2 raised concerns." lacks proper
10 foundation.

11 **Objection No. 2:** Paragraph 12: This paragraph lacks proper foundation and contains
12 inadmissible hearsay.

13 **Objection No. 3:** Paragraph 13: This paragraph lacks proper foundation and contains
14 inadmissible hearsay. This paragraph also contains inadmissible hearsay from an unnamed
15 "corp. representative at Neiman Marcus."

16 **Objection No. 4:** Paragraph 14: This paragraph lacks proper foundation and contains
17 inadmissible hearsay.

18 **Objection No. 5:** Paragraph 15: This paragraph lacks proper foundation and contains
19 inadmissible hearsay.

20 **Objection No. 6:** Paragraph 16: This paragraph lacks proper foundation and contains
21 inadmissible hearsay.

22 **Objection No. 7:** Paragraph 17: The sentence "GFSI classifies The Golf Warehouse as a
23 'Golf Specialty Store' because GFSI believes The Golf Warehouse is properly considered a Golf
24 Specialty Store, not a 'discount' or 'Secondary-Market' store." is irrelevant.
25

